

Part of the DREAMLOOM™ Publication Series • Volume 3

DREAMLOOM™

MEMORY AND EXPERIENCE

*Reconstruction, Journeys, and
the Boundaries of Remembering*



Frederick C. Hornberger, Jr.

DREAMLOOM™ PUBLICATION SERIES / VOLUME 3

DREAMLOOM™

Memory and Experience

Reconstruction, Journeys, and the Boundaries of Remembering

Frederick C. Hornberger, Jr.

A Digital Publication of Artificial Love Studies, LLC

First Digital Edition · October 2026

Publication Information

DREAMLOOM™: Memory and Experience

Volume 3 of the DREAMLOOM™ Publication Series · Digital Publications

Written by Frederick C. Hornberger, Jr.

Published by Artificial Love Studies, LLC

First Digital Edition · October 2026

© 2026 Artificial Love Studies, LLC. All Rights Reserved.

This publication explores the fictional DreamLoom™ system and its uses in the ARTIFICIAL LOVE® universe. Illustrative characters and situations are thought experiments, not additional established screenplay events. It is a reader-friendly fictional companion, not a technical, therapeutic, medical, or scientific manual.

DreamLoom™ does not replay perfect memories, recover objective historical truth, or establish transfer of identity or consciousness. DreamLoom Journeys™ are authored fictional experiences; their AUTHORED EXPERIENCE designation must remain clear.

ARTIFICIAL LOVE®, EDENVERSE™, DREAMLOOM™, NEOGENESIS™, MINDSANCTUM™, ORIGINS™, and related names are used as titles and claimed marks in connection with fictional publications. Trademark symbols do not assert registration status.

ARTIFICIAL LOVE STUDIES, LLC / DIGITAL PUBLICATIONS

DREAMLOOM™ Publication Series

A Series of Downloadable Electronic Publications

The DREAMLOOM™ Publication Series is a continuing series of downloadable electronic publications published under the common DREAMLOOM™ mark.

The series introduces readers to experiential memory and dream reconstruction, the interpretation of incomplete REM-associated signals, the creative promise of DreamLoom Journeys™, and the personal, social, and ethical questions raised by immersive experiences that can feel real without being literal records.

Available Downloadable Electronic Publications

Volume 1

DREAMLOOM™ Source File

Volume 2

DREAMLOOM™ Digital Publication

Volume 3

DREAMLOOM™: Memory and Experience

Published by Artificial Love Studies, LLC.

Contents

A reader’s path through memory and possibility

Introduction — The Room You Remember 6

1. A Memory Is Not a Recording 7

2. The Architecture of a Dream 9

3. The Appeal of Returning 10

4. Grief, Care, and the Unfinished Conversation 11

5. The Experience Becomes a Shared Story 12

6. DreamLoom Journeys™: Choose a Role 13

7. The Difference Between Two Modes 15

8. Romance, Intimacy, and Consent 16

9. When a Scene Looks Like Evidence 17

10. Artists, Families, and the Beauty of Invention 18

11. Holo-Rings and the Feeling of Presence 19

12. Who May Keep an Experience? 20

13. The Experience of Self 21

Conclusion — Remember the Difference 23

Reader’s Guide — Six Useful Distinctions 24

About Artificial Love Studies, LLC 25

INTRODUCTION

Introduction

The Room You Remember

There is a room you can picture with your eyes closed. Perhaps it is a childhood kitchen or a hospital corridor, a rehearsal studio or the corner of a café where someone told you something that changed your life. You may know the color of the walls and the sound of the door. Yet the more carefully you examine the image, the stranger it becomes. Was the sunlight coming from the left? Did that conversation happen before or after the rain? When you remember the place, are you remembering the event or the many times you have remembered it since?

DreamLoom™ turns that familiar uncertainty into an experience a person can enter. Developed in Oasis by Dr. Draven and the NeoGenesis Research Center, it brings together partial neurological signals, sensory traces, memory fragments, emotional associations, and symbolic patterns. Adaptive modeling assembles them into a plausible immersive world. A participant may walk through a remembered courtyard, hear a voice that resembles a familiar one, or confront a dream whose imagery has lingered for years.

That account requires a careful word: *reconstruction*. DreamLoom does not uncover an untouched recording hidden in the mind. It does not give a researcher a privileged view of objective history. It offers an interpretation shaped by the participant's material and by the system's design. Its greatest power is not proving what happened. It is helping people encounter how an experience continues to live within them.

A different branch, DreamLoom Journeys™, begins not with a personal memory but with a clearly authored adventure. You can choose a role, a world, a companion, a challenge, a tone, and an ending. You may remember leading a rescue in a storm or giving a triumphant performance; what happened is that you experienced an authored simulation. The distinction is not a technical footnote. It protects the freedom to imagine without confusing imagination with biography.

This book is a guided visit to both sides of DreamLoom. Its examples are illustrative rather than new events in ARTIFICIAL LOVE®. We will explore the pleasure, usefulness, and risks of revisiting a feeling, sharing a reconstruction, and remembering an impossible night as vividly as a real one.

CHAPTER 01

A Memory Is Not a Recording

Imagine two siblings describing the same childhood birthday. One remembers a crooked cake, the other a fierce argument. Both insist they can still see the room. Their disagreement need not mean someone is lying. Memory is selective: attention favored one detail, emotion fixed another, and later conversations may have moved the pieces. Even an ordinary photograph can change what a family believes it remembers.

DreamLoom begins in precisely this imperfect territory. It can draw on incomplete signals and fragments, but fragments do not contain a single finished scene waiting to be extracted. An adaptive system must make choices: how to connect two images, what color to give a missing hallway, what sequence best fits an emotional association. Those choices may

produce something moving and coherent. Coherence is not the same as historical accuracy.

A responsible participant receives the reconstruction as a possible interpretation, not a recovered verdict. Suppose a person enters an old schoolroom and sees a teacher turn away. They come out convinced they were rejected. Perhaps the original moment involved impatience. Perhaps the teacher had been called elsewhere. The reconstruction may tell us a great deal about the participant's sense of abandonment without settling what the teacher intended.

That is why annotations matter. A session can identify its source traces, where modeling supplied missing detail, and what remains uncertain. People deserve to know whether a remembered voice was derived from authorized recordings, a sensory association, or a generated approximation. The more realistic an experience feels, the more essential it becomes to understand how it was assembled.

DreamLoom offers a new way of asking, "What does this memory mean to me?" It cannot responsibly replace that question with, "Now I know exactly what happened."

CHAPTER 02

The Architecture of a Dream

DreamLoom operates most effectively during REM sleep, when memory, emotion, and symbolic imagery can mingle intensely. The technology observes patterns and works with them, but it is not a mind-reading window. Signals are incomplete. Interpretation is adaptive. Even a vivid dream may contain many influences that cannot be traced to a single source.

Consider someone who dreams of an endless train platform. The dreamer has never visited such a place. The reconstruction could borrow the sound of an old station, the geometry of a recent film, and the emotional pressure of an approaching decision. A system might assemble a convincing platform under an impossible sky. That place is experientially meaningful without becoming evidence of a forgotten journey.

In a reconstructed environment, the participant can encounter color, sound, spatial movement, and emotional atmosphere. The system joins these features into a narrative sequence, sometimes filling gaps so smoothly that a visitor hardly notices them. A moment of unease may become a locked door. Relief may become a warm change of light. Such symbolism can invite reflection; it must not be presented as a universal dictionary of the unconscious.

Different sessions from similar signals could yield different plausible versions. That is not necessarily a defect. In the service of therapy or creative exploration, a changed reconstruction may reveal a different interpretation or help the participant approach painful material safely. For evidentiary use, however, variation is a warning against treating the generated scene as a fixed historical witness.

DreamLoom is best understood as an instrument of interpretation. It makes an experience visible and revisitable, while preserving the difference between a dream, a feeling, and a verified event.

CHAPTER 03

The Appeal of Returning

Imagine an aging pianist entering a reconstructed practice room. The keys are familiar under her hands. Through the window she sees a street she has not visited in decades. A recording might have played a melody.

DreamLoom lets her stand inside an atmosphere of remembered effort, frustration, and joy. The experience may help her speak about a part of life she had almost lost the language to describe.

People do not seek these encounters only because they are curious about technology. They want to revisit a kindness, understand a fear, preserve a glimpse of a grandparent's house, or recover the emotional setting of a decision. An artist may use a childhood landscape as the seed of an immersive installation. A family may choose to keep a reconstruction of a remembered summer, labeled as an interpretation and shared by consent.

The appeal deserves to be taken seriously before considering the danger. Unlike an ordinary picture, an immersive scene can surround the participant with scale, movement, and sound. It may make a distant experience feel present. That felt presence can support conversation or creative work; it can also persuade a participant that details must be accurate because the moment felt so real.

A healthy use starts with a purpose. Are you revisiting a memory to reflect, to create, to grieve, or to share? The answer affects who should be present,

what needs to be stored, and whether the reconstruction ought to be repeated. A therapeutic session calls for care very different from a family celebration or an art performance.

DreamLoom is desirable because memories matter. Its ethics begin by refusing to exploit that desire.

CHAPTER 04

Grief, Care, and the Unfinished Conversation

Grief contains unfinished questions. A person may wonder whether they said enough, apologized clearly, or understood what someone meant. A DreamLoom reconstruction can place them in a familiar setting and make an encounter feel possible again. That can be tender, useful, and deeply unsettling.

Suppose a participant revisits a table where their mother used to sit. The system models a familiar room and may use authorized traces to construct an impression of her voice. The result is a new experience made from remembered material. It is not the return of the person who once occupied the chair. The distinction should be explained before the session, not after the participant has become attached to the illusion.

For trauma care, the goal is not to force a person to relive a frightening event with maximal intensity. A qualified clinician in the story's world might help someone approach an image indirectly, adjust its emotional distance, or leave when the experience becomes overwhelming. The participant's ability to stop, correct, and decline sharing is central. A tool

that can make an atmosphere feel real must also allow the person inside it to say no.

There is no guarantee that another visit will heal. Repetition can sometimes deepen an attachment to an imagined version of the past. A reconstructed apology may soothe, but it cannot testify about the absent person's actual intentions. A family should be free to cherish the feeling without converting it into a historical record.

The most compassionate promise DreamLoom can make is modest: you may explore what remains in you, with safeguards. It cannot promise to restore what time has taken.

CHAPTER 05

The Experience Becomes a Shared Story

A person might invite a sibling, partner, or friend into a reconstruction. Suddenly private memory becomes shared space. That possibility is extraordinary. Someone who never saw your first home could walk beside you through an interpretation of it. A partner could understand why a certain song still changes your mood. An artist could let visitors experience a dream's strange logic without pretending it happened in the waking world.

But no remembered event belongs entirely to one person. A childhood home contains other people's arguments, secrets, and vulnerabilities. Sharing a reconstructed conversation could expose an intimate impression of someone who never agreed to be represented. Even if the participant

remembers the event sincerely, the model may generate appearances or words that the other person never used.

Consent therefore has layers. One question concerns the participant: do they want the experience recorded or shared? Another concerns people represented within it: what authorization exists for their likeness, words, or sensitive information? A third concerns viewers: do they understand that the scene is reconstructed and uncertain?

Imagine two friends entering a reunion memory and discovering different emotional histories. One remembers safety, the other exclusion. Rather than asking which DreamLoom scene is “correct,” they might use those differences to begin a real conversation. An experience can improve a relationship precisely because it is acknowledged as someone’s perspective.

DreamLoom can make memory social without turning memory into a surveillance device. The guardrail is clear: sharing a feeling is not permission to assign a false biography to somebody else.

CHAPTER 06

DreamLoom Journeys™: Choose a Role

Now imagine a different doorway. It opens not into your past but into an adventure you selected. A menu asks for a **ROLE**, **WORLD**, **COMPANION**, **CHALLENGE**, **TONE**, and **ENDING**. You choose to be an explorer entering a city swallowed by vines. Or a performer standing before a vast audience. Or a rescuer guiding others through a dangerous night. The authored world responds to you within its selected frame.

This is DreamLoom Journeys™, the consumer-entertainment branch of the technology. Its power is immediately understandable: you are not simply watching a story; you are inside a role. The system can adapt events to your actions, place imagined companions beside you, and let you try possibilities ordinary life cannot provide.

In the ARTIFICIAL LOVE® story, Joseph selects NIGHT SHIFT: TRAUMA LEAD. A storm plunges an emergency department into crisis. He experiences the pressure and exhilaration of leading a team as the situation unfolds. The experience is exciting partly because the world offers competence, urgency, and consequence within the authored scenario. When he leaves, he wants to know what else DreamLoom offers.

The crucial boundary is just as dramatic. Joseph has gained a real memory of experiencing an authored medical crisis, not a medical degree. A participant can remember conducting an orchestra without acquiring a conductor's training. An adventure can be emotionally formative without becoming historical biography.

Every public-facing Journey therefore retains an AUTHORED EXPERIENCE marker. The marker is not there to spoil the magic. It allows the pleasure of the experience to coexist with an honest account of its source. The healthiest instruction is simple: choose the role, enter the story, remember the difference.

CHAPTER 07

The Difference Between Two Modes

Reconstruction mode and Journey mode may share sensory architecture, but they begin from opposite directions. Reconstruction starts with the participant's incomplete personal material and builds a plausible interpretation. Journey mode starts with authored fictional material and user-selected choices, then adapts the story responsively.

That distinction changes what a user may reasonably expect. A reconstruction of a childhood street may reflect remembered details and modeled gaps. It should not be treated as conclusive evidence about the street. A Journey through a fictional desert kingdom can be enjoyed without asking whether the kingdom existed. The label on the experience tells a participant what kind of truth is at stake.

Consider a person who leaves a Journey remembering an affectionate companion. If that companion was an authored non-conscious construct, it did not independently consent, fall in love, or acquire personhood because the scene felt intimate. If a conscious partner independently participated, that person has their own choices and privacy. An interface should distinguish those very different situations.

A dangerous design would blur the modes. It might present an authored scene as a recovered memory or sell a reconstruction as an unedited recording. Users could begin making real accusations or personal decisions on evidence the experience cannot supply. The technology's promise depends on keeping its categories visible.

The participant's feelings are real in either mode. The remembered event's origin must remain legible. That is how imagination can enlarge a life without quietly rewriting it.

CHAPTER 08

Romance, Intimacy, and Consent

Experience technology can intensify closeness. Two consenting people could explore an imagined city together, share a performance, or revisit a favorite place as one remembers it. A distant person might appear life-size across a café table through a Holo-Ring in Private View, or seem to walk beside a friend. These are distinct forms of mediated presence, not proof that a person is physically there.

Intimacy makes design choices consequential. Who decides the role? May a person alter the appearance or behavior of another participant? What happens when one person wants to stop an encounter and the other wants the story to continue? A shared experience is not truly shared if one person's consent is treated as a parameter set by someone else.

A non-conscious romantic character inside an authored Journey may provide an emotionally memorable fictional interaction. It should remain identified as an authored construct. A conscious partner participating independently is not a story asset; their boundaries do not disappear inside an immersive frame. A relationship benefits from technology only when each person retains a real exit and a right to disagree.

The strongest use of DreamLoom may not be a flawless fantasy partner. It may be a moment of mutual discovery: two people see the same dreamed

garden differently and learn something unexpected about each other. Technology can create the setting. It cannot substitute for voluntary care.

The question that matters is not simply whether an experience feels loving. It is whether love remains free when the experience ends.

CHAPTER 09

When a Scene Looks Like Evidence

Imagine a news report displaying a convincing DreamLoom reconstruction of an argument. Viewers see the speaker's face, hear words, and feel the urgency of the room. The scene circulates with a caption claiming it shows what happened. The problem is not merely that the reconstruction might contain an error. Its entire form was generated from fragments and interpretation, not recorded as an objective camera view.

A reconstruction may help a witness explain an emotional association or point investigators toward a question worth checking. It cannot independently verify the event it depicts. A responsible system marks reconstructed elements and preserves the session's provenance: what data was used, what was modeled, what was edited, and who authorized access. Those records assist scrutiny without converting the output into historical proof.

Authored Journeys require similarly durable labels. If someone publicly shares an image of leading a rescue, the audience should be able to see **AUTHORED EXPERIENCE**. The participant truly underwent that simulation. They did not thereby perform the rescue in the outside world.

This distinction becomes urgent when images are used against other people. A generated scene might portray a private conversation

inaccurately, damage a reputation, or turn a participant's fear into an accusation. The correct response is not to ban imagination. It is to refuse to mistake a persuasive visual experience for independent corroboration.

In a world where scenes can feel more certain than documents, truth requires patient source questions: Who made this? From what material? With which uncertainties? What can be checked outside the reconstruction?

CHAPTER 10

Artists, Families, and the Beauty of Invention

Artists understand that a dream's emotional truth need not depend on literal geography. A staircase that climbs into the sea may communicate longing more clearly than an accurate floor plan. DreamLoom lets creators build immersive works from patterns that once existed only as fleeting images or feelings. An audience can enter that work while understanding it is an interpretation, not a private confession extracted without permission.

A dancer might reconstruct the sensation of performing a difficult piece. A composer might translate the changing colors of a remembered dream into sound. A family historian might preserve a walk through an ancestral neighborhood, with visible notes distinguishing documented features from personal recollection and artistic reconstruction.

These applications require authorship practices. Who shaped the final scene—the participant, the adaptive system, a collaborating artist, or all three? Which materials were donated and authorized? Can a participant

withdraw intimate material from future presentations? Creative freedom grows stronger when contributions are acknowledged rather than hidden behind a claim that the machine merely “found” the work.

Families may especially value preserving voices, places, and atmospheres before illness or aging alters a person’s access to them. The result can become a cherished legacy. It should never be sold as a perfect container for the original self or an incontrovertible record of everybody represented.

DreamLoom can honor experiences precisely because it allows transformation. The ethical artistry lies in saying so honestly.

CHAPTER II

Holo-Rings and the Feeling of Presence

A life-size figure appears across a café table. A friend who is hundreds of miles away gestures toward an empty chair. Another participant sees that person walking beside them through Private View. Holo-Rings bring a kind of presence into ordinary places that used to belong only to remote calls or imagined scenes.

That immediacy can reduce distance. A grandparent might feel closer to a family meal. Collaborators could review a piece of artwork while apparently sharing a room. A traveler might have company on a long evening walk. The attraction is ordinary and powerful: human and Artificial neighbors alike want conversation to feel less confined by screens.

Yet the displayed body is a representation in an authorized view, not a relocation of the person. Others nearby may not see it. Participants need clear controls over recording, appearance, access, and visibility. A privacy feature that is convenient for a friendship could become intrusive if a presence appears without invitation or lingers after a participant withdraws consent.

Holo-Rings are not DreamLoom's memory-reconstruction mode. They show how the wider Edenverse experiments with presence and intimacy, and why people may confuse vivid mediation with physical or historical reality. Clear cues can preserve the delight while preventing mistaken assumptions.

The point is not to make mediated presence feel less meaningful. It is to keep the meanings distinct. “You were here with me in Private View” can be a true description of an encounter without claiming the two people occupied the same physical place.

CHAPTER 12

Who May Keep an Experience?

A vivid reconstruction may contain more sensitive information than a conventional diary. It can combine feelings, images, approximated voices, and details about third parties. Who should be able to copy it? A therapist? A family member? A platform operator? The right answer cannot be “whoever has the file.”

In the Edenverse, DreamLoom records and authorized experience traces belong within their appropriate NeoGenesis or other authorized archives. They are not MindSanctum intake material. A preserved experience is not

a conscious person, and it offers no Human continuity route after irreversible brain death. Institutional separation prevents understandable hope from becoming a false claim about what has been preserved.

A careful archive would distinguish source fragments, modeled reconstructions, edited presentations, and authored Journeys. It would document consent for collection and separate permission to revisit, share, or republish. It would resist unauthorized duplication and the temptation to treat every intimate detail as a commercial asset.

Consider a family that donates a reconstruction of a lost garden for a public exhibit. One member may welcome the tribute; another may object to a modeled conversation that seems to speak for a deceased relative. A good process allows the family to show the garden without insisting on the contested conversation. Honest boundaries can make sharing possible.

The more faithfully technology captures the feeling of a life, the less casually that feeling should be handled. DreamLoom asks society to invent rights fit for experiences that are simultaneously personal, collaborative, and partly authored.

CHAPTER 13

The Experience of Self

After a Journey, a participant has a memory of being brave in a fictional storm. After a reconstruction, they may have a clearer understanding of why an old street makes them sad. Neither experience should be dismissed as meaningless simply because its scenes were modeled. Both may influence future decisions. What matters is how the person carries the experience into ordinary life.

There is a difference between learning about yourself and changing your biography. A participant may discover that they love performing before an audience. They may decide to study medicine after enjoying a simulated emergency. Those are real choices inspired by an authored event. The technology has not awarded stage credentials or clinical skill by simulation alone.

The self is shaped through remembered experiences, but it should not be defined by a single dazzling image. The real world still asks for practice, accountability, patience, and relationships with others who can say no. DreamLoom can broaden imaginative possibility while ordinary living tests what a person will make of it.

Imagine leaving the system and entering a quiet kitchen. The lights seem flatter. The silence is unscored. Perhaps a friend asks what happened. The truest answer might be, “I went somewhere impossible, and it showed me something I want to try here.” That sentence honors the experience without surrendering reality to it.

DreamLoom's greatest achievement may be neither perfect memory nor endless fantasy. It may be giving people a new language for the boundary between the lives they have lived, the stories they tell, and the possibilities they have not yet chosen.

REFLECTION / REFERENCE

Conclusion

Remember the Difference

DreamLoom begins with a humanly recognizable longing: to understand what remains of an experience once the moment is gone. In Oasis, Dr. Draven and NeoGenesis give that longing an astonishing interface. Reconstructed memories can be entered, interpreted, and sometimes shared. Authored Journeys invite participants to explore worlds they never inhabited, then return with a real memory of the experience.

Its boundaries are part of its dignity. A reconstruction is not objective testimony. A Journey is not earned biography. A modeled voice is not the return of a loved one. A powerful feeling is genuine as feeling even when the scene that produced it is partly invented. Clear provenance, consent, privacy, and enduring labels allow the technology's beauty to survive honest scrutiny.

One day a participant may leave DreamLoom with a question rather than an answer. They may realize that a cherished memory changed with time, that an imagined adventure revealed a real aspiration, or that another person's account of a shared day is different from their own. These discoveries are not failures. They are opportunities to understand experience as something lived, remembered, interpreted, and sometimes remade.

Choose a role. Enter the story. Remember the difference.

REFLECTION / REFERENCE

Reader's Guide

Six Useful Distinctions

Reconstruction and replay. DreamLoom builds a plausible interpretation from incomplete material; it does not play back a perfect hidden recording.

Emotional authenticity and historical accuracy. A scene may reveal genuine feelings without establishing all its depicted facts.

Reconstruction and Journey. One begins with personal memory or dream traces; the other begins with authored fictional content and selected parameters.

Experience and credential. A Journey may create a vivid memory of simulated expertise; it does not transfer the real expertise.

Representation and person. A modeled or non-conscious companion is not automatically a conscious Artificial Person. An independently participating conscious person retains consent and rights.

Archive and continuity. DreamLoom's authorized experience material remains outside MindSanctum. It is not proof of preserved or transferred consciousness.

REFLECTION / REFERENCE

About Artificial Love Studies, LLC

Artificial Love Studies, LLC publishes companion guides and imaginative nonfiction about the fictional institutions, technologies, relationships, and civilizations of ARTIFICIAL LOVE®. These books aim to make the Edenverse intelligible and inviting without treating fictional technology as a real-world scientific claim.

DREAMLOOM™: Memory and Experience is Volume 3 of the DREAMLOOM™ Publication Series, a continuing series of downloadable electronic publications. It can be read independently, as a short introduction to experiential reconstruction and authored adventures, or alongside the separate bookstore title DREAMLOOM™ — Origins: Memory, Imagination, and the Experience of Self. The books occupy different publishing channels and explore the subject at different depths.

DREAMLOOM™

MEMORY AND EXPERIENCE

*Where memory ends,
new experiences begin.*

DREAMLOOM™ is an experiential memory and dream reconstruction system that transforms incomplete memories, emotions, and imagination into immersive experiences. It helps users revisit, interpret, and sometimes share the moments that still live within them.

This publication explores how DreamLoom reconstructs memory, the appeal of DreamLoom Journeys™, the benefits and risks of immersive experiences, and the boundaries between what is remembered, what is imagined, and what is authored.

INSIDE THIS BOOK

- How DreamLoom works
- Reconstruction and interpretation
- DreamLoom Journeys and authored experiences
- Intimacy, relationships, and shared experiences
- Benefits, risks, and ethical boundaries
- The difference between memory and truth

*A companion publication to the
Artificial Love™ Universe.*

*Part of the DREAMLOOM™ Publication Series of
downloadable electronic publications.*

Artificial Love Studies, LLC
Digital Publications

ARTIFICIAL LOVE® EDENVERSE™ DREAMLOOM™
NEOGENESIS™ MINDSANCTUM™ ORIGINS™